

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/521,696	03/09/2000	James Keith	22058-521	2455
30623	7590 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN	
AND POPEO,	P.C. ZIAL CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	BOSTON, MA 02111		1647	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/521,696	KEITH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jegatheesan Seharaseyon	1647			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		orrespondence address			
Period fo						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	November 2003.	•			
,—	·	is action is non-final.				
, —						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11,21 and 22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11, 21 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen			(070, 440)			
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date 11/5/2003	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/521,696

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to the amendment and response filed on 11/05/2003. Claims 21 and 22 have been added. Thus, claims 1-11, 21 and 22 are pending.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Applicants submission of an IDS is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102, maintained

4. Claims 1,6 and 21 (newly added) remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 (a) as being anticipated by Hill et al. (1998), is maintained for reasons set forth in Paper No: 19. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/05/03 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant disagrees with the position taken by the Office with respect to Hill reference inherently describing the claimed invention. Applicant contends that claim 1 requires the identification of a mammal at risk of developing complement-mediated cytotoxicity, and Hill fails to teach this limitation either explicitly or inherently. Unlike the Merck citation the Hill reference teaches a specific condition (GVHD) contemplated by the Applicant. Although, the Hill reference does not mention the complement-mediated cytotoxicity, it does treat a mammal at risk for GVHD. Furthermore, when the instant claims are read in light of the specification it is clear that the mammal at risk contemplated in the instant invention and the mammal treated in the Hill et al. reference have the same physiological condition, that is developing complement-mediated cytotoxicity. The specification clearly teaches that, "provided by the invention are methods of treating disorders where protection against CTL and/or complement-mediated cytotoxicity are

Art Unit: 1647

shown to be beneficial including, without limitation, graft versus host disease (GVHD), and rejection of organ or tissue transplants (specification, page: 3, 2nd paragraph and page: 8, last paragraph). Therefore, identifying someone at risk for GVHD <u>does</u> meet the limitation of the claim of identifying them as at risk of complement-mediated cytotoxicity. Thus, it is clear that although, the prior art did not necessarily appreciate the mechanism by which the effect was attained, it clearly teaches the same method, using the same active agent (IL-11), as the rejected claims to treat GVDH caused by complement-mediated cytotoxicity associated with organ and tissue transplantation. The limitations present in claim 21 have already been addressed above. Therefore, claims 1, 6 and 21 remain rejected under35 U.S.C. §102 (a) as being anticipated by Hill et al. (1998).

5. Claim 6 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) as being anticipated by Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5, 700,664). This rejection is maintained for reasons set forth in Paper No: 19 above in paragraph 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/05/03 have been considered but are not persuasive. Although, Applicant claims that Yang et al. fails to teach the claimed step of identifying a mammal with complement-mediated cytotoxicity, as indicated previously (Paper No: 19) above in paragraph 4, Yang et al. administer IL-11 to treat immune cell or hematopoietic cell deficiency following a bone marrow transplantation. When the instant claims are read in light of the specification it is clear that the mammal at risk contemplated in the instant invention and the mammal treated in the Yang et al. reference have the same physiological condition, that is developing complement-mediated cytotoxicity. The specification clearly teaches that, "provided by

Art Unit: 1647

the invention are methods of treating disorders where protection against CTL and/or complement-mediated cytotoxicity are shown to be beneficial including, without limitation, graft versus host disease (GVHD), and rejection of organ or tissue transplants (specification, page: 3, 2nd paragraph, page: 8, last paragraph). Thus, treating complement-mediated cytotoxicity is inherent to IL-11. Therefore, the disclosure of Yang et al. anticipates instant claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103, maintained

6. Claims 2-5, 7-11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Hill et al. (1998) in view of Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,700,664) is maintained. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/05/03 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect the references not teaching complement-mediated cytotoxicity has been addressed above in paragraphs 5, 6 and in Paper No: 19. Applicant also argues that the dose range of IL-11 administered is not suggested by Hill or Yang reference. Applicant agrees that Yang et al. teaches the administration of IL-11 in the range of 1-1000 µg/kg body weight for treating an immune disorder. Therefore, the limitation of 1-100 µg/kg body weight of IL-11 required for preventing or treating complement-mediated cytotoxicity (a immune disorder) associated with organ and tissue transplantation is within the limitation described by Yang et al. The limitations present in claim 22 have been addressed with respect to organ and tissue transplantation has been addressed above. Therefore, instant invention is obvious over Hill et al. (1998) in view of Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,700,664).

Art Unit: 1647

7. No claims are allowable over prior art.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0196.

LURRAINE SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1647

Page 6

JS